Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,029	FERLITSCH, ANDREW R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN B. STREGE	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JOHN B. STREGE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>WESLEY AUSTIN 42,273</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: CLAIM 10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: EXAMINER SUGGESTED INCORPORATING THE LIMITATIONS OF ALLOWABLE CLAIM 10 INTO CLAIM 1 TO EXPEDITE THE PROSECUTION OF THE APPLICATION AS CLAIM 1 ON ITS OWN WOULD BE REJECTED. THE APPLICANT SUGGESTED SOME MINOR CHANGES TO THE LIMITATIONS TO WHICH THE EXAMINER AGREED AND AN EXAMINERS AMENDMENT WAS PREPARED. .